

REF:NS:SEC:

29<sup>th</sup> November, 2019

**National Stock Exchange of India Limited**  
"Exchange Plaza", 5<sup>th</sup> Floor,  
Plot No.C/1, G Block  
Bandra-Kurla Complex  
Bandra (East), Mumbai 400051.

**BSE Limited**  
Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers,  
Dalal Street, Fort,  
Mumbai 400001.

**Bourse de Luxembourg**  
Societe de la Bourse de Luxembourg  
Societe Anonyme/R.C.B. 6222,  
B.P. 165, L-2011 Luxembourg.

**London Stock Exchange Plc**  
10 Paternoster Square  
London EC4M 7LS.

**Sub: Intimation – Details of Litigation(s) as required under Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015**

Dear Sirs,

We had vide our letter dated 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 informed about the complaint filed by Fiat Chrysler Automobile US, LLC ("FCA") with the United States International Trade Commission ("ITC") against the Company and commencement of a proceeding in the Federal District Court at Michigan by the Company and Mahindra Automotive North America ("MANA"), a subsidiary of the Company.

Further, we had vide our letter dated 7<sup>th</sup> January, 2019 informed about the "Initial Determination Order" from ITC.

We are giving the details of development in relation to the proceedings, as required under Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 read with SEBI Circular No. CIR/CFD/CMD/4/2015 dated 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 in Annexure A.

The copies of the earlier letters dated 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 and 7<sup>th</sup> January, 2019 are also enclosed.

Yours faithfully,  
For MAHINDRA & MAHINDRA LIMITED

  
NARAYAN SHANKAR  
COMPANY SECRETARY

Encl.: as above

## Annexure A

Sr. No	Regularly till the litigation is concluded or dispute is resolved :	
(a)	the details of any change in the status and / or any development in relation to such proceedings;	<p>In the complaint filed by Fiat Chrysler (FCA) before the United States International Trade Commission (ITC), the Administrative Law Judge has in his Initial Determination found that while the design of the Roxor vehicle does not violate any of FCA's registered trademarks, it violated FCA's trade dress and recommended an exclusion order prohibiting the importation of Roxor parts and a cease-and-desist order prohibiting sale of any already imported Roxor parts.</p> <p>The Initial Determination made by the Administrative Law Judge of the ITC is a non-binding recommendation to the ITC and the Company has asked the same to be reviewed.</p> <p>The ITC will consider the Initial Determination and review applications filed by the parties and make a Final Determination.</p> <p>Meanwhile, FCA has filed a counterclaim in the proceeding filed by the Company before the Eastern District Court of Michigan, seeking a permanent injunction on manufacture or sales of the Roxor, as well as disgorgement of any profits made by the Company from sales of the Roxor.</p> <p>If FCA succeeds in getting a permanent injunction, then Mahindra Automotive North America, a subsidiary of the Company will no longer be able to sell Roxors in the US. The Company believes that no claim for disgorgement of profits arise.</p>

(b)	in the case of litigation against key management personnel or its promoter or ultimate person in control, regularly provide details of any change in the status and / or any development in relation to such proceedings.	Not Applicable
(c)	in the event of settlement of the proceedings, details of such settlement including - terms of the settlement, compensation/penalty paid (if any) and impact of such settlement on the financial position of the Company.	Not Applicable

REF:NS:SEC:

7<sup>th</sup> January, 2019

**National Stock Exchange of India Limited**  
"Exchange Plaza", 5<sup>th</sup> Floor,  
Plot No.C/1, G Block  
Bandra-Kurla Complex  
Bandra (East), Mumbai 400051.

**BSE Limited**  
Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers,  
Dalal Street, Fort,  
Mumbai 400001.

**Bourse de Luxembourg**  
Societe de la Bourse de Luxembourg  
Societe Anonyme/R.C.B. 6222,  
B.P. 165, L-2011 Luxembourg.

**London Stock Exchange Plc**  
10 Paternoster Square  
London EC4M 7LS.

**Sub: Intimation – Details of Litigation(s) as required under Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015**

Dear Sirs,

This is in furtherance to our letter dated 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 on the captioned subject wherein we had informed about the complaint filed by Fiat Chrysler Automobile US, LLC ("Fiat") with the United States International Trade Commission ("ITC") against the Company and commencement of a proceeding in the Federal District Court at Michigan by the Company and Mahindra Automotive North America ("MANA"), a subsidiary of the Company.

The Company has received an "Initial Determination Order" from ITC.

The details as required under Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 read with SEBI Circular No. CIR/CFD/CMD/4/2015 dated 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 are given in Annexure A.

A copy of the earlier letter dated 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 is enclosed as Annexure B.

This is for your information.

Kindly acknowledge receipt.

Yours faithfully,  
For MAHINDRA & MAHINDRA LIMITED

  
NARAYAN SHANKAR  
COMPANY SECRETARY

Encl.: as above

**Annexure A**

Sr. No	Regularly till the litigation is concluded or dispute is resolved :	
(a)	the details of any change in the status and / or any development in relation to such proceedings;	In the complaint filed by Fiat Chrysler (FCA) before the United States International Trade Commission (ITC) the administrative law judge has passed an initial determination order. The Order holds that the complaint filed by FCA will not be dismissed at this stage, as sought, and that the investigation by the ITC will continue.
(b)	in the case of litigation against key management personnel or its promoter or ultimate person in control, regularly provide details of any change in the status and / or any development in relation to such proceedings.	Not Applicable
(c)	in the event of settlement of the proceedings, details of such settlement including - terms of the settlement, compensation/penalty paid (if any) and impact of such settlement on the financial position of the Company.	Not Applicable



**Mahindra & Mahindra Ltd.**  
Mahindra Towers,  
Dr. G. M. Bhosale Marg, Worli,  
Mumbai 400 018 India

Tel: +91 22 24901441  
Fax: +91 22 24975081

REF:NS:SEC:  
29<sup>th</sup> August, 2018

**National Stock Exchange of India Limited**  
"Exchange Plaza", 5<sup>th</sup> Floor,  
Plot No.C/1, G Block  
Bandra-Kurla Complex  
Bandra (East), Mumbai 400051.

**BSE Limited**  
Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers,  
Dalal Street, Fort,  
Mumbai 400001.

**Bourse de Luxembourg**  
Societe de la Bourse de Luxembourg  
Societe Anonyme/R.C.B. 6222,  
B.P. 165, L-2011 Luxembourg.

**London Stock Exchange Plc**  
10 Paternoster Square  
London EC4M 7LS.

**Sub: Intimation - Details of Litigation(s) as required under Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015**

Dear Sirs,

The details as required under Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 read with SEBI Circular No. CIR/CFD/CMD/4/2015 dated 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 are given below.

Sr. No	At the time of becoming the party:	
(a)	brief details of litigation viz. name(s) of the opposing party, court/tribunal/agency where litigation is filed, brief details of dispute/litigation;	<p>(i) A complaint was filed by Fiat Chrysler Automobile US, LLC ("Fiat") with the United States International Trade Commission ("ITC") against the Company.</p> <p>The complaint alleges that certain design features of the Mahindra ROXOR infringe the intellectual property rights of Fiat's Jeep design as it was modelled after the original Willys Jeep.</p> <p>The Company believes that the complaint is without merit.</p> <p>In response, the Company and Mahindra Automotive North America ("MANA"), a subsidiary of the Company, have filed a Public Interest Statement with the ITC. This Statement expresses the Company's position on this matter.</p>

		<p>(ii) The Company and MANA have commenced a proceeding in the Federal District Court at Michigan to enforce the Grill Design Agreement that the Company had executed with Fiat in 2009 and to seek an injunction against Fiat from proceeding with the ITC complaint that has been filed by it.</p> <p>A copy of the public statement being issued by the Company in this regard is enclosed as Annexure A.</p>
(b)	<p>expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty etc;</p>	<p>From the reading of the filing of Fiat, they have sought an order for permanently restraining the Company from exporting, and MANA from importing, any parts or components which are infringing upon Fiat's intellectual property rights in to the US.</p> <p>There is no monetary claim such as compensation, damages, etc. sought by them. However, if they succeed in getting a permanent injunction from the Company exporting infringing parts or components to the US and MANA importing the same in to the US, the Company will not be able to sell such parts or components to the US and the sale of such parts or components by the Company to MANA will be affected.</p>
(c)	<p>quantum of claims, if any;</p>	<p>There are no monetary claims in the proceeding.</p>

This is for your information.

Kindly acknowledge receipt.

Yours faithfully,  
For MAHINDRA & MAHINDRA LIMITED

  
NARAYAN SHANKAR  
COMPANY SECRETARY

Encl.: as above



Public Statement

A complaint was filed by FCA US, LLC ("Fiat") with the United States International Trade Commission ("ITC") against Mahindra which we believe is without merit. In response, we have taken a number of actions both within the ITC and in Federal District Court that we would like to share with you. Mahindra filed a Public Interest Statement with the ITC on August 22, 2018. This Statement expresses our position on this matter and explains how it is in the public interest for the ITC to rule against Fiat and in favor of Mahindra.

Our goals on the public interest statement were two fold. One was to state our position on the merits and the other was to correct inaccuracies regarding Mahindra as a company and the ROXOR as a product. We set the record straight on the history of Mahindra, including its U.S. operations. We also demonstrated that the ROXOR is a vehicle that was always intended only as off-road, does not compete with Fiat vehicles, is manufactured and assembled in the first OEM plant to be built in Michigan in the last 25 years, was the result of more than three years of research and development, and categorically rejected the notion that the ROXOR was an imported low quality "knock-off" kit car.

On August 23, 2018, Mahindra filed a complaint in Federal Court in Michigan on the issue of the applicability and enforcement of our 2009 agreement with Fiat. We are asking the court to block Fiat from participating in the ITC claim - an injunction - because of the fact that they agreed in 2009 to never bring such claims if we use a grille that they approved. The ROXOR uses that grille. We are also arguing that Fiat is using the ITC case to harm our ROXOR business by creating negative publicity, damaging our reputation and our stature in the marketplace.

